



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/056,220	04/07/98	MILES	D 16218-5

MM21/0528
CLIFFORD W BROWNING
WOODARD EMHARDT NAUGHTON
MORIARTY & MCNETT BANK ONE CTR./TOWER
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3700
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

EXAMINER
BRUCE, D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2876	5

DATE MAILED: 05/28/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/056,220

Applicant(s)

Miles

Examiner

David Bruce

Group Art Unit

2876

☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 21-29 and 33-41 is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-20, 30, and 31 is/are rejected.

☒ Claim(s) 32 is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 4

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claim 30 IS rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kossila US 5,737,388. Kossila shows all of the features of the instant invention including a vertical member adapted to hold an image receptor adjustably coupled to a horizontal member (Figures 2 and 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kossila. Kossila shows all of the features of the instant invention except for the integrally formed CCD sensor.

Art Unit: 2876

Kossila teaches that a digital picture plate (such as a CCD sensor) may be used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the CCD an integral part of the vertical member motivated by the desire to save money by not needing to develop film.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-8, 10, 11, and 13-17 of U. S. Patent No. 5,631,943 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Portable X-ray device and method for using the device with "handle" and "enclosure" swapped from the claims in 5,631,943, and the "cradle" of 5,631,943 called a "coupler".

Art Unit: 2876

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 21-29 and 33-41 are allowed.
8. Claim 32 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claim 21 addresses an x-ray device with collimated tube with a slot for a removable filter, claims 22-29 address an x-ray device with a collimated tube with a specific docking slot for an image receptor holder, claim 32 addresses an image receptor holder with an adjustable angle vertical member coupled to a horizontal member using a multi-sided hole and protrusion, claims 33 and 34 address the use of multiple handgrips in a device like that of the 5,631,943 patent, and claims 35-41 address the addition of processing circuitry and a display window to a device like that of 5,631,943. These features are neither shown nor fairly suggested in the prior art

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion


10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Domato US 5,119,410 shows multi-sided holes and protrusions used in an image receptor holder.

11. Papers related to Art Unit 2876 applications **only** may be submitted to Art Unit 2876 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Art Unit 2876 Fax Center numbers are (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7728, (703) 308-7382, (703) 305-3431, and (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David V. Bruce whose telephone number is (703) 308-0856.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

dvb/May 21, 1999


DAVID VERNON BRUCE
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2870